# Minutes of the Meeting of the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 28 July 2015 at 7.00 pm

Present: Councillors Roy Jones (Chair), James Baker, Michael Stone and

Pauline Tolson

**Apologies:** Councillor Garry Hague

**In attendance:** Councillor Jane Pothecary, Cabinet Member for Public

Protection and Communities
Mike Heath, Head of Environment

Gavin Dennett, Head of Public Protection

Toni Barlow, Public Protection

Superintendent Steve Robinson, Essex Police

Sergeant Nick Hampson, Essex Police

Jenny Shade, Senior Democratic Services Officer

Before the start of the Meeting, all present were advised that the meeting may be filmed and was being recorded, with the audio recording to be made available on the Council's website.

#### 1. Minutes

The Minutes of the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee, held on 22 January 2015, were approved as a correct record.

Councillor Tolson raised the question that at this committee she made reference to the point that there should be at least two designated Council Officers that are contactable by the Police so that there is a link between Police and the Council. This was in reference to Item 13, Witness Session: Essex Police attending to discuss Fly-Tipping Issue.

The Clerk agreed to check the recordings from the 22 January 2015 committee.

#### 2. Declaration of Interests

No interests were declared.

### 3. Items of Urgent Business

An item of Urgent Business was raised by the Chair on Travellers. This was raised due to the recent events that started last Friday, 24 July 2015, when travellers were moved on from the Dagenham and Barking area and made their way to Thurrock.

The Travellers first settled on the sports playing field at Lakeside and after causing much damage decided to move onto Belhus Park.

The Chair had been informed that the last of the travellers moved off Belhus Park late Monday night leaving a trail of destruction and waste on site which would cost a significant amount to clear up.

The Chair introduced Superintendent Steve Robinson and Sergeant Nick Hampson to the committee and asked for their report and to answer any questions that members may have on this subject.

Superintendent Steve Robinson thanked the Chair for the opportunity to speak and outlined the events of the weekend.

Superintendent stated that on Friday 24 July, the Metropolitan Police used their powers under Section 61 to evict a large group of travellers from the Barking and Dagenham area. The Travellers continued over the border into Thurrock and took up site at the Lakeside Sports Centre where there was Police presence and the group was moved on by the Police using the powers of a Section 61. The same group or elements of the group of travellers moved onto the Belhus Park (Impulse Leisure) where 72 caravans had gathered. The Superintendent stated that at this time a Section 61 would not be issued due to reasons of bad weather and there being children and families on site.

Over the weekend a further assessment and consideration of issuing a Section 61 was undertaken. Video footage was taken of the damage which has been estimated at £10,000. It was clarified that Impulse Leisure had instructed bailiffs using common law powers. At this time five crimes had been reported and the Police were in consultation with the Council.

With notice to quit issued on Monday 27 July the encampment dispersed.

Regular checks were being undertaken to ensure that no further breaches had taken place.

The Head of Public Protection had been in communication with the Chief Executive of Impulse Leisure and can confirm that they have commenced some target hardening of that site. Earth work type hardening to make it as difficult as possible to pull caravans onto.

A discussion took place on the expectations of the Police and an explanation on the grounds of when a Section 61 could have been used. It was agreed by Members that lessons needed to be learnt from this large group of travellers, the understanding of the Section 61 and how important it was to have a Council Liaison Officer.

Councillor Tolson asked the Superintendent if the Metropolitan Police escorted the travellers over the border into Thurrock. The Superintendent stated he had no information on this at this time. He stated that when travellers moved nobody was sure where they are moving on to.

The Head of Public Protection confirmed that as Barking and Dagenham and Thurrock have shared services, he heard about the group of travellers and travelled to Barking and Dagenham to assess the situation. It was then reported back to Thurrock Council that there may be a potential risk of the travellers coming to Thurrock.

The Superintendent confirmed that he will be holding a de-brief with the Silver Commanders that attended the site throughout the weekend to ensure that a consistent message around Section 61 and ensure that Essex Police are not passive in regards to Section 61.

Councillor Tolson asked the Superintendent how the travellers gained access to the Belhus site. The Superintendent confirmed that fencing was ripped and a lower rail had been removed.

Councillor Tolson then stated that this was criminal damage and why were no arrests made. The Superintendent confirmed that no arrests were made. This decision was made by the Dog Handler, the first Police Officer on site. The Superintendent agreed with this decision as one arrest at that time would not have helped the situation.

Councillor Tolson asked if vehicle registrations were taken. The Superintendent confirmed that video footage was taken of the site and that they have descriptions of vehicles.

A Detective Sergeant has been assigned to investigate the five crimes undertaken.

Councillor Tolson stated that the police attendance was far better than the flytipping incident which happened earlier in the year.

Councillor Stone asked the Superintendent how many Police Officers were involved. The Superintendent stated that he did not have the exact figures to hand but significant resource were on site at all times.

The Chair stated that it was important that Essex Police and Thurrock Council learn lessons from this event and work together on solutions on how to go forward in the future as residents of Thurrock were fed up with Travellers and the fly tipping that was left for tax payers to pay for.

Councillor Pothecary thanked Councillor Jones for taking this urgent item and stated that she was surprised by the events over the weekend. Councillor Pothecary confirmed that she was in the process of looking at the current position and how the policies and procedures apply.

Councillor Pothecary asked the Superintendent if he would return to the Committee and brief the Members on the Section 61 debriefs. The Superintendent stated himself or another Police Officer would be happy to return and provide feedback.

Councillor Tolson stated that there appeared to be no headway from January 2015 meeting where Inspector Norris was invited to the Committee and that Thurrock could be seen as a soft touch.

The Superintendent stated that all crimes needed to be looked at, not just those that were carried out by the travellers but those crimes carried out at the same time by the settled community.

A member of the Public, a resident from Aveley, who has been in liaison with Police and the Council raised the question why do the Police team and Essex Police continue to choose not to enforce the Section 61 to move on these Travellers that are not welcomed by Thurrock residents. The recent encampment has had an impact on the settled community and that criminal damage was undertaken.

The Superintendent thanked the resident for her comments and that it adds to the picture of the community. He confirmed that the encampment had to have significant impact to the community and confirmed that amenities were being used generally as normally on that site over the weekend. He confirmed that the Police had to act without fear of favour and take into account the needs of the travellers and the community. The Superintendent agreed to take the residents points on board and will take into account when undertaking the debrief. The Superintendent summed up that Section 61 was used appropriately on one site and it was not used appropriately on the other site.

Superintendent Steve Robinson, Sergeant Nick Hampson and Councillor Pothecary left the meeting at 7.45pm.

### 4. Terms of Reference

The Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee Terms of Reference were noted.

# 5. Community Delivery of Environmental Services in Parks and Open Spaces

The Head of Environment introduced the report which highlighted that as a result of significant reductions in the money received from Government and other pressures on services the Council will have to make, the Council can no longer afford to operate all of the services that it has historically provided and it has to focus its attention on delivery of its statutory functions. There are a number of activities and services that the Council has delivered in the past but can no longer fully fund that may be able to be delivered by the third party.

The report explored the options for community delivery of services and functions and highlighted examples of opportunities to empower groups to take ownership and responsibility for local facilities.

The Head of Environment referred Members to page 15, section 2.5, of the report that highlights two examples of organisations that have approached the council who wish to take responsibility for the functions.

Head of Environment also asked the Members if this is the route they want to take and recommend to Cabinet that Officers enter into detailed negotiations with groups who have expressed an interest in developing community based services.

Council Tolson asked what "ad-hoc assistance" covered. It was explained that this was the moving of heavy items, supply and delivery of soil and other pieces of assistance that the Council was best placed to help with.

It was further confirmed that these have no significant costs to the council but helps to keep the functions that are enjoyed by residents open.

The Chair asked the Head of Environment if these communities based services were required to have their own Public Liability Insurance. It was confirmed that yes each service must have this and in some instances this was covered by the council.

#### **RESOLVED**

- 1. That the Community Delivery of Environmental Services in Parks and Open Spaces Report is noted.
- 2. That the Committee recommend to Cabinet that allows Officers to enter into detailed negotiations with groups who have expressed an interest in developing community based services.
- 6. Thurrock Council Civil Protection COMAH (Control of Major Accident and Hazards) Site Report

The Principal Officer for Civil Protection introduced the report which highlighted members to the current status of the off-site Control of Major Accident and Hazards (COMAH) plans for Thurrock's COMAH sites along with the future plans for these sites by Civil Protection.

The Principal Officer referred Members to page 20 of the report which highlighted the COMAH sites in Thurrock and confirmed that 7 of the 8 COMAH sites had been exercised. The COMAH off-site plan work for Thames Enterprise Park will be undertaken once the site is able to go ahead with its intended use.

The Chair asked how regular the checks and reports are carried out. The Principal Officer confirmed that these are done every three years.

Councillor Baker asked if the Lorry Park in East Tilbury could be added to the list of COMAH sites. The Head of Public Protection explained the process of

COMAH and confirmed that the 8 sites in Thurrock are designated by legislation.

#### **RESOLVED**

- 1. That the off-site plans for 7 of the 8 COMAH sites in Thurrock have been exercised and new plans have been distributed for 5 COMAH sites with the remainder to be distributed by the end of August 2015 to all relevant stakeholders are noted.
- 2. That the COMAH off-site plan work for Thames Enterprise Park, Coryton remains outstanding due to non-development of the site and non-storage of COMAH products on site and this work will be completed once the site is able to go ahead with its intended use are noted.

### 7. Waste Framework Directive

The Head of Environment introduced the report which detailed the different options for the collection service to ensure compliance with the revised Waste Regulations 2011 which came into force on the 1 January 2015. Regulation 13 of the revised Waste Regulations requires all waste collectors in England and Wales to separately collect four waste streams, paper, metal, plastic and glass where it is necessary to meet the quality standards for the relevant recycling sector and Technically, Environmentally and Economically Practicable (TEEP).

The Head of Environment further explained the methods of collection used at the moment in Thurrock would not meet the TEEP standards and asked the Committee to approve the recommendations so that the most appropriate way forward for Thurrock can be achieved.

It was further stated that the life cycle of a refuse vehicle was 7 years, costing between £180,000-£200,000, and it was imperative that at the end of that cycle the new refuse vehicle is fit for purpose to accommodate the collection options to be proposed.

A discussion took place on some of the options and methods that could be implemented.

The Chair asked the Head of Environment what the timeframe would be for reviewing the collecting processes. The Head of Environment confirmed that normally it would be 20 months but some areas may be looked at earlier even with the option of refurbishing a refuse vehicle to make it last another 3 years, although doing this may come with a risk of intervention.

The Chair asked if we could look at options used by other councils. Head of Environment confirmed that all councils have different methods of collection

and that it is important to get the balance right between the cost, the waste collection method adopted and public acceptability.

#### **RESOLVED**

- 1. That the committee agreed to allow officers to develop an options appraisal of collection and disposal methods to ensure compliance with revised waste regulations, following the findings of the TEEP Report.
- 2. That the committee agreed to allow officers to develop a route map towards compliance with the revised waste regulations.
- 3. That the committee agreed to allow officers to report back their finding from this service review and implement a project plan for any proposed changes to the service.

### 8. Work Programme

The Committee noted the work programme.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the Cleaner, Greener and Safer Overview and Scrutiny Committee work programme be noted.

The meeting finished at 8.09 pm.

Approved as a true and correct record

CHAIR

**DATE** 

Any queries regarding these Minutes, please contact Democratic Services at <a href="mailto:Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk">Direct.Democracy@thurrock.gov.uk</a>