

ED2. EXECUTIVE DECISIONS BY A CABINET MEMBER OR AN OFFICER

A. Report Title: Bankfoot, Badgers Dene, Grays – Implementation of ‘At any time’ waiting restrictions	
B. Report Author(s): Julie Nelder	Tel: 01375 413366 E-mail: jnelder@thurrock.gov.uk
C. Decision Maker: Andy Millard	
D. Position held: Head of Strategic Planning and Delivery	
E. Key decision: NO	F. Delegation ref:
G. Is the decision urgent? YES/NO	
H. If yes, state why.	

I. DECISION (strike out whichever does not apply) :	
1. I agree the recommendations in the attached report for the reasons given in the report; OR	
2. *My decision is:	
*The reason for my decision is:	
* Continue overleaf or on an additional sheet if necessary.	
Signed: Andrew Millard	Date: 6 May 2009

URGENCY

Democratic Services will arrange for the completion of the following:	
J. I confirm that in my opinion a decision on this matter is urgent and cannot reasonably be delayed:	
Signed: Andrew Millard	Date: 6 May 2009

To be completed by Democratic Services

Date decision received by Dem. Services: 8 May 2009	Date decision published: 11 May 2009
Implementation date:	
Relevant O & S Committee:	

February 2009	ITEM No.
Delegated Decision Report	
BANKFOOT, BADGERS DENE, GRAYS – IMPLEMENTATION OF ‘AT ANY TIME’ WAITING RESTRICTIONS.	
Portfolio Holder: Cllr Neil Rockliffe (Highways and Transportation)	
Report Author: Principal Traffic Engineer	
Accountable Head of Service: Head of Strategic Planning and Delivery	
Accountable Director: Corporate Director of Sustainable Communities	
Purpose: To consider objections to the proposal to install parking restrictions in Bankfoot, Badgers Dene.	
Wards affected: Grays Riverside	Key decision: No
This report is Public	

1. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1.1 It is recommended that the objection to the proposal to extend the parking restrictions opposite number 94 Bankfoot is upheld and parking is permitted to continue at this location, for the reasons given in paragraph 4.2 of this report. The objector should be notified accordingly.**
- 1.2 It is recommended that the objection to the proposal to implement parking restrictions adjacent to number 55 Bankfoot is over-ruled and that the restrictions are implemented, for the reasons given in paragraph in 4.3 of this report. The objector should be notified accordingly.**

2. INTRODUCTION:

- 2.1 Set within the Integrated Transport Programme of Works, a budget of £5,000 has been allocated for the implementation of parking restrictions in Badgers Dene.**

3. BACKGROUND:

- 3.1 The request for the restrictions to be placed in Badgers Dene originated from the Forum and members of the Forum were consulted and met on site, to identify and agree the areas that they felt needed addressing due to commuter parking problems etc.**

3.2 Following the above site meeting with residents, the statutory consultation process began on 19th September 2008 and ended on 10th October 2008.

3.3 Two objections were received to the proposal, each objecting to a different stretch of proposed restrictions.

4. ISSUES AND/OR OPTIONS:

4.1 The proposal was to implement 'At any time' (double yellow lines) restrictions to the following areas; opposite 16 to 18 Bankfoot, at the entrance to the turning head outside 64 to 88 Bankfoot, opposite 94 – 100 Bankfoot, from the party wall of No's 53 and 55 Bankfoot to a point opposite No's 122 and 124 Bankfoot, Bankfoot at its junction with Pagette Way, Bankfoot at its junction with Bersham Lane and Bersham Lane at its junction with Prior Chase.

4.2 One of the objectors feels that it is not necessary to extend the existing restrictions from house number 94 Bankfoot to 100 and that by doing so would unnecessarily restrict the spaces available for residents and their visitors. It is not considered unsafe for parking to be permitted to continue at this location.

4.3 The second objector is concerned about the restriction proposed for the bend adjacent to house number 55 Bankfoot. Some vehicles currently park on this bend with two wheels on the footway. The footway at this point is adjacent to a flank wall of a property. The objector feels that if this area is restricted, those vehicles will be displaced to the front of houses further along the road. Depending on the location, footway parking can present a number of problems. The problems associated with footway parking are site specific and vary in nature depending on the available width of footway, construction of the footway and location. This practice often results in complaints of obstruction and footway damage. This area is on a bend and restrictions are considered necessary at this location.

4.4 Ward Members were consulted between 12th and 18th March 2009. No responses were received.

4.5 The Portfolio Holder for Highways and Transportation supports the recommendations in paragraphs 1.1 and 1.2.

5. IMPACT ON CORPORATE PRIORITIES:

5.1 These actions accord with the Council priorities to create a safer environment

6. OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY:

6.1 This item has not been to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

RELEVANT POLICIES	
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (Prepared by: Meinir Hall 01375 652147) e-mail: mhall@thurrock.gov.uk There are no additional financial implications arising out of this report.	
LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (Prepared by: Baljit Bhandal 01375 652994) e-mail:bbhandal@Thurrock.gov.uk The implementation of parking restrictions is subject to the making of a Traffic Regulation Order in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984.	
DIVERSITY & EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS (Prepared by: Samson DeAlyn 01375 652472) e-mail: sdealyn@thurrock.gov.uk There are no direct diversity implications noted in this report.	
OTHER IMPLICATIONS	
BACKGROUND PAPERS Notice of Proposal Letters of objection	Report Author Contact Details: Name: Julie Nelder Telephone: 01375 413366 E-mail: jnelder@thurrock.gov.uk